



HP SPARTACOTE™

Patented "High-Performance"
Polyaspartic Flooring Systems

CURED FLOOR COATINGS COMPARISON Epoxy vs. Polyurethane vs. HP SPARTACOTE™ Polyaspartic

PROPERTY	ASTM TEST METHOD	2-PACK EPOXY	ALIPHATIC PUR	HP SPARTACOTE™ Sparta-Flex™	HP SPARTACOTE™ ADVANTAGE
Abrasion Resistance	D-4060 (a) mg loss	83-105	60-65	22-28	Triple the Abrasion Resistance
Falling Sand Abrasion	D-968 (b) liters sand/mil	8-10 (c)	25-30 (c)	30-38	Triple the Wear Resistance
Adhesion Pull-Off	D-4541 psi concrete failure psi over steel	400 400-600	400 NR (d)	400 1,000	Twice the Adhesion to Steel
Tensile Strength	D-638, D-2370 psi	3,339-4,000	4,400-5,500	4,500-5,000	Equal
Impact Direct/Reverse	D-2794 inch pounds	40/20	80/40	160/160	40%-50% Chip Reduction
Flexibility 1/8 Mandrel	D-522 Cracking	Fails	Passes	Passes	50% Greater Flexibility and Chip Reduction
Color-Gloss Retention SSPC Paint Specification No. 36					
48 Months South Florida	D-1014 meets	Level 1 Fails	Level 2	Level 3	Twice the Color and Gloss Retention
2000 Hours Accelerated	D-4587 meets	Level 1 Fails	Level 2	Level 3	Twice the Color and Gloss Retention

Recoat Time or Walk-On Foot Traffic:

Above 70° F; Below 80% Relative Humidity Minimum/Maximum Recoat-Hours	3-4/48.	5/36	1.48	2 Days
Minimum Foot Traffic-Hours	12-16.	24	2	2 Days
Minimum Foot Traffic-Hours	NR(d)	24-36	2	GO VERSUS NO GOOD

(a) CS-17 Taber Abrasion Wheel, 1,000 gram load; 1,000 revolutions (b) Liters of sand to erode 1 dry mil coating (c) Average of generic coatings surveyed (d) NR-Not Recommended.